DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT

AUTHORISATION	INIT	IALS	DATE
File completed and officer recommendation:	Α		27/06/2019
Planning Development Manager authorisation:	Į	<i>W</i>	27/6/119
Admin checks / despatch completed	Service S	UQ_	27/66/19

Application:

19/00599/OUT

Town / Parish: Ardleigh Parish Council

Applicant:

Mrs Lynda Bennett

Address:

Nevada Harts Lane Ardleigh

Development:

Proposed six detached dwellings and garages to replace existing dwelling.

1. Town / Parish Council

Ardleigh Parish Council

Ardleigh Parish Council objects to this planning application as the site falls outside the Settlement Development Boundary. It is unsustainable. The nearest shop is over 2 miles away (Tesco, HIghwoods), the nearest primary school (Ardleigh) is over 2 miles away and all journeys would need to be made by car.

The emerging Tendring Local Plan does not support housing development in this area.

It represents over development of a rural location.

Furthermore, there is no requirement for additional detached housing in this area.

2. Consultation Responses

ECC Highways Dept

The information that was submitted in association with the application has been fully considered by the Highway Authority.

The proposal is utilising the existing vehicle access for the proposal, therefore:

From a highway and transportation perspective the impact of the proposal is acceptable to Highway Authority subject to the following mitigation and conditions:

1. There should be no obstruction above ground level within a 2.4 m wide parallel band visibility splay as measured from and along the nearside edge of the carriageway across the entire site frontage. Such vehicular visibility splays shall be provided before the access is first used by vehicular traffic and retained free of any obstruction at all times.

Reason: To provide adequate inter-visibility between users of the access and the public highway in the interests of highway safety in accordance with policy DM1.

2. No unbound material shall be used in the surface treatment of the vehicular access within 6 metres of the highway boundary. Reason: To avoid displacement of loose material onto the highway in the interests of highway safety in accordance with policy DM1.

- 3. Prior to the occupation of any of the proposed dwellings, the proposed private drive shall be constructed to a width of 5.5 metres for at least the first 6 metres from the back of Highway Boundary. Reason: To ensure that vehicles can enter and leave the highway in a controlled manner and to ensure that opposing vehicles can pass clear of the limits of the highway, in the interests of highway safety in accordance with policy DM1.
- 4. Prior to occupation of the development a vehicular turning facility, of a design to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority shall be constructed, surfaced and maintained free from obstruction within the site at all times for that sole purpose. Reason: To ensure that vehicles can enter and leave the highway in a forward gear in the interest of highway safety in accordance with policy DM1.
- 5. Any single garage should have a minimum internal measurement of 7m x 3m and all double garages should have a minimum internal measurement of 7m x 5.5m

Reason: To encourage the use of garages for their intended purpose and to discourage on-street parking, in the interests of highway safety and in accordance with Policy DM8.

6. Any new boundary planting shall be planted a minimum of 1 metre back from the highway boundary and any visibility splay. Reason: To ensure that the future outward growth of the planting does not encroach upon the highway or interfere with the passage of users of the highway, to preserve the integrity of the highway and in the interests of highway safety and in accordance with Policy DM1.

Informative 1: There shall be no discharge of surface water onto the Highway.

To prevent hazards caused by water flowing onto the carriageway and to avoid the formation of ice on the highway in the interest of highway safety.

Informative 2: All housing developments in Essex which would result in the creation of a new street (more than five dwelling units communally served by a single all-purpose access) will be subject to The Advance Payments Code, Highways Act, 1980. The Developer will be served with an appropriate Notice within 6 weeks of building regulations approval being granted and prior to the commencement of any development must provide guaranteed deposits which will ensure that the new street is constructed in accordance with acceptable specification sufficient to ensure future maintenance as a public highway.

Informative 3: All work within or affecting the highway is to be laid out and constructed by prior arrangement with and to the requirements and specifications of the Highway Authority; all details shall be agreed before the commencement of works.

The applicants should be advised to contact the Development Management Team by email at development.management@essexhighways.org or by post to:

SMO1 - Essex Highways Colchester Highways Depot, 653 The Crescent, Colchester CO4 9YQ

The Highway Authority cannot accept any liability for costs associated with a developer's improvement. This includes design check safety audits, site supervision, commuted sums for maintenance and any potential claims under Part 1 and Part 2 of the Land Compensation Act 1973. To protect the Highway Authority against such compensation claims a cash deposit or bond may be required.

3. Planning History

18/30105/PREAPP Demolition of existing dwelling and Refused 02.07.2018 outbuildings. Erection of five new dwellings.

4. Relevant Policies / Government Guidance

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework February 2019

National Planning Practice Guidance

Tendring District Local Plan 2007

QL1 Spatial Strategy

QL9 Design of New Development

QL10 Designing New Development to Meet Functional Needs

QL11 Environmental Impacts and Compatibility of Uses

HG6 Dwelling Size and Type

HG7 Residential Densities

HG9 Private Amenity Space

HG4 Affordable Housing in New Developments

TR1A Development Affecting Highways

TR7 Vehicle Parking at New Development

EN6 Biodiversity

EN11A Protection of International Sites European Sites and RAMSAR Sites

COM6 Provision of Recreational Open Space for New Residential Development

EN1 Landscape Character

Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017)

SP1 Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

SPL1 Managing Growth

SPL3 Sustainable Design

LP1 Housing Supply

LP3 Housing Density and Standards

LP4 Housing Layout

PPL3 The Rural Landscape

CP1 Sustainable Transport and Accessibility

CP2 Improving the Transport Network

PPL4 Biodiversity and Geodiversity

LP5 Affordable and Council Housing

HP5 Open Space, Sports & Recreation Facilities

Local Planning Guidance

Essex County Council Car Parking Standards - Design and Good Practice

Status of the Local Plan

The 'development plan' for Tendring is the 2007 'adopted' Local Plan. Paragraph 213 of the NPPF (2019) allows local planning authorities to give due weight to adopted albeit outdated policies according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018 and the Inspector's initial findings were published in June 2018. They raise concerns, very specifically, about the three 'Garden Communities' proposed in north Essex along the A120 designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033. Further work is required to address the Inspector's concerns and the North Essex Authorities are considering how best to proceed.

With more work required to demonstrate the soundness of the Local Plan, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications. The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan will progress once matters in relation to Section 1 have been resolved. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

In relation to housing supply:

The NPPF requires Councils to boost significantly the supply of housing to meet objectively assessed future housing needs in full. In any one year, Councils must be able to identify five years' worth of deliverable housing land against their projected housing requirements (plus an appropriate buffer to ensure choice and competition in the market for land, account for any fluctuations in the market or to improve the prospect of achieving the planned supply). If this is not possible, or housing delivery over the previous three years has been substantially below (less than 75%) the housing requirement, paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF requires applications for housing development needing to be assessed on their merits, whether sites are allocated for development

in the Local Plan or not. At the time of this decision, the supply of deliverable housing sites that the Council can demonstrate falls below 5 years and so the NPPF says that planning permission should be granted for development unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework as a whole. Determining planning applications therefore entails weighing up the various material considerations. The housing land supply shortfall is relatively modest when calculated using the standard method prescribed by the NPPF. In addition, the actual need for housing was found to be much less than the figure produced by the standard method when tested at the recent Examination In Public of the Local plan. Therefore, the justification for reducing the weight attributed to Local Plan policies is reduced as is the weight to be given to the delivery of new housing to help with the deficit.

5. Officer Appraisal (including Site Description and Proposal)

Site Context

The application relates to Nevada a detached bungalow located on the northern side of Harts Lane within the parish of Ardleigh.

The site extends approximately 0.86 hectares in size with the existing dwelling located toward to the south west corner of the plot and some outbuildings to the rear and west with the remainder of the site set to grass with isolated groups of small trees in the main body of the land and established trees on the perimeter of the site. The site is served by an existing vehicular access and long drive.

Description of Proposal

The application seeks outline consent with all matters reserved for the erection of 6 detached dwellings and garages, replacing the one existing dwelling on site.

Scale, appearance, landscaping, access and layout are all reserved for subsequent consideration.

Assessment

The main considerations in this instance are:

- Principle of Development;
- Layout, Scale and Impact;
- Trees and Landscaping;
- Highway Safety and Parking;
- Residential Amenities:
- Affordable Housing:
- Financial Contribution Open Space;
- Financial Contribution RAMS; and,
- Representations.

Principle of Development

The site lies outside of any Settlement Development Boundary as defined within both the adopted Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (2017). Saved Tendring District Local Plan (2007) Policy QL1 sets out that development should be focussed towards the larger urban areas and to within development boundaries as defined within the Local Plan. These sentiments are carried forward in emerging Policy SPL1 of the Publication Draft.

The National Planning Policy Framework 2019 (NPPF) requires Councils to boost significantly the supply of housing to meet objectively assessed future housing needs in full. In any one year, Councils must be able to identify five years' worth of deliverable housing land against their projected housing requirements (plus an appropriate buffer to ensure choice and competition in the market for land, account for any fluctuations in the market or to improve the prospect of achieving the planned supply). If this is not possible, or housing delivery over the previous three years has been substantially below (less than 75%) the housing requirement, paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF requires applications for housing development needing to be assessed on their merits, whether sites are allocated for development in the Local Plan or not.

At the time of this report, the supply of deliverable housing sites that the Council can demonstrate falls below 5 years and so the NPPF says that planning permission should be granted for development unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework as a whole. Determining planning applications therefore entails weighing up the various material considerations. The housing land supply shortfall is relatively modest when calculated using the standard method prescribed by the NPPF. In addition, the actual need for housing was found to be much less than the figure produced by the standard method when tested at the recent Examination in Public of the Local plan. Therefore, the justification for reducing the weight attributed to Local Plan policies is reduced as is the weight to be given to the delivery of new housing to help with the deficit.

Whilst it is recognised that there would be conflict with Saved Policy QL1 and Emerging Policy SPL1 in terms of the site being sited outside the settlement development boundary, as stated above, in the context of the 5 year housing land supply paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF requires applications for housing development to be assessed on their merits, whether sites are allocated for development in the Local Plan or not and it is important to consider whether any circumstances outweigh this conflict.

- Assessment of Sustainable Development

While the NPPF advocates a plan-led approach, it is important to consider whether any circumstances outweigh the conflict. Development should be plan led unless material considerations indicate otherwise and it is accepted that the site is not in a preferred location for growth.

In line with Paragraph 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018), achieving sustainable development means meeting an economic objective, a social objective and an environmental objective. These are assessed below.

- economic;
- social, and;
- environmental roles.

The sustainability of the application site is therefore of particular importance. In assessing sustainability, it is not necessary for the applicant to show why the proposed development could not be located within the development boundary.

- Economic

It is considered that the proposal would contribute economically to the area, for example by providing employment during the construction of the properties and from future occupants utilising local services, and so meets the economic arm of sustainable development.

- Social

Emerging Policy SPL1 of the Publication Draft of the Local Plan 2017 includes a 'settlement hierarchy' aimed at categorising the district's towns and villages and providing a framework for directing development toward the most sustainable locations therefore being in line with the aims of the aforementioned paragraph 17 of the NPPF. This is the emerging policy equivalent to Saved Policy QL1 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 which states that development should be focussed towards the larger urban areas and to within development boundaries as defined within the Local Plan.

Ardleigh is identified as a village within saved Policy QL1 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 and is defined as a Smaller Rural Settlement within Policy SPL1 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan Publication Draft (2017). These smaller villages are considered to be the least sustainable locations for growth and there is a concern that encouraging too much development in these areas will only serve to increase the number of people having to reply on cars to go about their everyday lives. It is accepted that each of these smaller rural settlements can achieve a small scale increase in housing stock over the plan period. To allow for this to happen, Settlement Development Boundaries have been drawn flexibly, where practical, to accommodate a

range of sites both within and on the edge of villages and thus enabling them to be considered for small-scale residential 'infill' developments. With this in mind, where appropriate the emerging Local Plan settlement development boundary has been extended but does not include the application site or extend close to its locality.

However, in this instance, the application site is located approximately 3km from the edge of the settlement boundary with its already limited range of services and amenities.

In this regard, there is no access to day to day needs within a practical walking distance and the conditions are unsafe on this 60mph country road with no footpaths or street lighting. Any social sustainability credentials of the site are severely diminished due to its distance from the built up area of Ardleigh which already has limited local services. It is highly likely that the occupants of the proposed dwellings would be car dependant failing to promote sustainable modes of transport therefore failing to meet the social strand of sustainable development.

Regardless of the Council's housing land supply position, the application fails to meet the social strand of sustainable development as set out within the NPPF and is contrary to the aforementioned local plan policies and the aims of the NPPF as a whole. The development is unnecessary and there are no public benefits that might warrant the proposal being considered in an exceptional light.

- Environmental

The environmental role is about contributing to protecting and enhancing the natural and built environment which is considered below under the heading Layout, Scale and Impact.

Layout, Scale and Impact

Paragraph 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019 (NPPF) sets out the overarching objectives for achieving sustainable development, one being the environmental objective which requires the planning system to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment. Furthermore, Paragraph 127 of the NPPF requires that development should respond to local character and history, and reflect the identity of local surroundings. It goes onto say that local distinctiveness should be promoted and reinforced. Saved Policy QL9 and EN1 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and Policy SPL3 and PPL3 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017) seeks to ensure that development is appropriate in its locality and does not harm the appearance of the landscape. Outside development boundaries, the Local Plan seeks to conserve and enhance the countryside for its own sake by not allowing new housing unless it is consistent with countryside policies.

Nevada is a modest bungalow well set back from Harts Lane on a generous plot enclosed by established planting and mature trees. The development proposes the demolition of the existing bungalow and its replacement with 6 detached dwellings and garages.

Although the site could not be described as isolated as it is bounded by built development, the overall character of the area is semi-rural in nature being located on an unclassified country lane with predominantly commercial premises. Examples of residential dwellings in the locality are sporadic and similar to the application site. The development represents an unplanned advance of urbanisation into the countryside eroding the rural character of the area resulting in a detrimental impact upon the rural landscape. In applying the NPPF's presumption in favour of sustainable development, the adverse environmental impacts of the proposal on the character of the locality are not outweighed by any benefits.

Trees and Landscaping

The application site is set to grass with isolated groups of small trees in the main body of the land. There are established trees on the perimeter of the site that may be a constraint on the development potential of the land. There are however extensive open areas on the application site that are not encumbered or constrained by vegetation. In order to show the extent of the constraint that the trees are on the development potential of the land the applicant has provided a detailed tree survey and report. The report also describes the general health and condition of the trees. The report is in accordance with BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations. As the application is in an outline form and does not show the positions of new

dwellings it is still not possible to fully quantify the impact of the proposed development on the trees. However taking into account the positions and size of the proposed individual plots it appears that the development of plots A, B, C, E and F could be possible without adversely affecting retained trees. The development of Plot D would necessitate the removal of several established trees. Taking into account the distance that the trees on Plot D are set back from the highway it is considered that their removal would not have a significant adverse impact on the character of the area or its use and enjoyment by the public. The surrounding area is generally well treed and the potential tree removal would not significantly alter or harm the local landscape character. Aside from the potential impact of the development proposal on the trees on the land the main harm would arise from the visual impact on the landscape that would occur as a result of the scale and pattern of development that is not in keeping with the character of the area.

Highway Safety and Parking

Saved Policy QL10 of the Saved Plan states that planning permission will only be granted, if amongst other things, access to the site is practicable and the highway network will be able to safely accommodate the additional traffic the proposal will generate.

Officers consider that sufficient space is available on site to provide a development that could achieve access, turning and parking in line with the requirements the Council's current adopted Parking Standards.

Essex County Council Highway Authority raise no objection.

Residential Amenities

The NPPF, in paragraph 17 states that planning should always seek to secure a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. In addition, Policy QL11 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007) states that amongst other criteria, 'development will only be permitted if the development will not have a materially damaging impact on the privacy, daylight or other amenities of occupiers of nearby properties'. Policy SPL3 of the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017) supports these objectives.

Officers consider that sufficient space is available on site to provide a development that could achieve an internal layout and separation distances that would not detract from the amenities of any nearby dwellings or the future occupiers of the proposed dwellings and would provide private amenity areas in excess of the standards set out within Saved Policy HG9 of the adopted Local Plan.

Affordable Housing

The National Planning Policy Framework 2019 states Local Planning Authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition.

Policy HG4 in the adopted Local Plan requires large residential developments to provide 40% of new dwellings as affordable housing for people who cannot otherwise afford to buy or rent on the open market. Policy LP5 in the emerging Local Plan, which is based on more up to date evidence on viability, requires 30% of new dwellings on large sites to be made available for affordable or Council Housing. The policy does allow flexibility to accept as low as 10% of dwellings on site, with a financial contribution toward the construction or acquisition of property for use as Council Housing (either on the site or elsewhere in the district) equivalent to delivering the remainder of the 30% requirement.

The size of the site on this application is 0.86 hectares and so the requirement for affordable housing is triggered. The proposal is for 6 houses. There are currently 18 households on the housing register seeking a 4 bedroom home in Ardleigh and therefore there is a demonstrable need for affordable housing in the village. This would equate to 1 x 4 bedroom house on this development to accord with Council policy.

Although there is a demonstrable need for housing in the village of Ardleigh, the council would seek a financial contribution in lieu of on-site provision on this application as the funds can be used to deliver affordable housing in other parts of the district.

A completed Section 106 agreement to secure the above-mentioned planning obligations has not been provided prior to the application determination date and the application is therefore contrary to the above policies.

Financial Contribution - Open Space

There is currently a deficit of -1.70 hectares of equipped play/open space in Ardleigh. There are currently 3 areas of play/open space in Ardleigh. A recently renovated play area next to the primary school in Colchester Road approximately 1.5 miles from the development site. Ardleigh Recreation Ground & Millennium Green approximately 1.5 miles from the development site. Due the current deficit of play provision in Ardleigh a contribution towards play provision is both relevant and justified to this application. The contribution received would be used to make improvements at the play area located at Ardleigh Recreation Ground.

This application is accompanied by a unilateral undertaking securing the financial contribution.

Financial Contribution - RAMS

Following Natural England's recent advice and the introduction of Zones of Influences around all European Designated Sites (i.e. Ramsar, Special Protection Areas and Special Area of Conservation). Within Zones of Influences (which the site falls within) Natural England are requesting financial contributions to mitigate against any recreational impact from new dwellings.

Legal advice has been sought in relation to the Essex Coast Recreational disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy (RAMS) which supports the view that Tendring District Council can seek financial contributions in accordance with the Essex Coast Recreational disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy (RAMS). A Habitat Regulations Assessment has therefore been undertaken to confirm that the mitigation will be the RAMS level contribution as recommended by Natural England. It is therefore considered that this contribution is sufficient to mitigate against any adverse impact the proposal may have on European Designated Sites. The contribution is secured by unilateral undertaking. There is therefore certainty that the development would not adversely affect the integrity of European Designated Sites in accordance with policies EN6 and EN11a of the Saved Tendring District Local Plan 2007, Policy PPL4 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft and Regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2017.

This application is accompanied by a unilateral undertaking securing a proportionate financial contribution in line with the Essex Coast RAMS requirements to ensure that this proposal will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the nearby European sites from recreational disturbance, when considered 'in combination' with other development.

Representations

Ardleigh Parish Council objects to this planning application for the following reasons;

- Site located outside the Settlement Development Boundary.
- Occupants of the development would be car dependent as no nearby facilities or amenities.
- The emerging Tendring Local Plan does not support housing development in this area.
- It represents over development of a rural location.
- No requirement for additional detached housing in this area.

6 individual letters of objection have been received. The material planning considerations raised can be summarised as follows;

- Harts Lane is a narrow country lane unsuitable for development.
- Severely increase the traffic movements.
- Dangerous to highway and pedestrian safety.
- Development is harmful to visual amenity.
- Totally out of character with the rural nature of the lane and landscape character.

- Set a dangerous precedent for future residential development on Harts Lane.
- Noise pollution from additional cars.
- Harmful to the environment and habitats.

The merits of the development are set out in the main report above and the justifiable reasons for refusal addressed.

Conclusion

For the reasons set out above, the development is considered to represent an unsustainable form of development contrary to the aims of national and local plan policies for the delivery of new housing.

6. Recommendation

Refusal - Outline

7. Reasons for Refusal

The site lies outside of any Settlement Development Boundary as defined within both the adopted Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (2017). Saved Tendring District Local Plan (2007) Policy QL1 sets out that development should be focussed towards the larger urban areas and to within development boundaries as defined within the Local Plan. These sentiments are carried forward in emerging Policy SPL1 of the Publication Draft.

The National Planning Policy Framework 2019. (NPPF) requires Councils to boost significantly the supply of housing to meet objectively assessed future housing needs in full. In any one year, Councils must be able to identify five years' worth of deliverable housing land against their projected housing requirements (plus an appropriate buffer to ensure choice and competition in the market for land, account for any fluctuations in the market or to improve the prospect of achieving the planned supply). If this is not possible, or housing delivery over the previous three years has been substantially below (less than 75%) the housing requirement, paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF requires applications for housing development needing to be assessed on their merits, whether sites are allocated for development in the Local Plan or not.

At the time of this determination the supply of deliverable housing sites that the Council can demonstrate falls below 5 years and so the NPPF says that planning permission should be granted for development unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework as a whole. Whilst it is recognised that there would be conflict with Saved Policy QL1 and Emerging Policy SPL1 in terms of the site being sited outside the settlement development boundary, as stated above, in the context of the 5 year housing land supply paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF requires applications for housing development to be assessed on their merits, whether sites are allocated for development in the Local Plan or not and it is important to consider whether any circumstances outweigh this conflict. In line with Paragraph 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019), achieving sustainable development means meeting an economic objective, a social objective and an environmental objective. The sustainability of the application site is therefore of particular importance.

Emerging Policy SPL1 of the Publication Draft of the Local Plan 2017 includes a 'settlement hierarchy' aimed at categorising the district's towns and villages and providing a framework for directing development toward the most sustainable locations therefore being in line with the aims of the aforementioned paragraph 17 of the NPPF. This is the emerging policy equivalent to Saved Policy QL1 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 which states that development should be focussed towards the larger urban areas and to within development boundaries as defined within the Local Plan.

Ardleigh is identified as a village within saved Policy QL1 of the adopted Tendring District Local Plan 2007 and is defined as a Smaller Rural Settlement within Policy SPL1 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan Publication Draft (2017). These smaller villages are considered to be the least sustainable locations for growth and there is a concern that encouraging too much development in these areas will only serve to increase the number of people having to rely on cars to go about their everyday lives. In this instance, the application site is located approximately 3km from the edge of the settlement boundary with its already limited range of services and amenities. In this regard, there is no access to day to day needs within a practical walking distance and the conditions are unsafe on this 60mph country road with no footpaths or street lighting. Any social sustainability credentials of the site are severely diminished due to its distance from the built up area of Ardleigh which already has limited local services. It is highly likely that the occupants of the proposed dwellings would be car dependant failing to promote sustainable modes of transport therefore failing to meet the social strand of sustainable development.

Regardless of the Council's housing land supply position, the application fails to meet the social strand of sustainable development as set out within Paragraph 7 of the NPPF and is contrary to the afore-mentioned local plan policies and the aims of the NPPF as a whole. The development is unnecessary and there are no public benefits that might warrant the proposal being considered in an exceptional light.

Paragraph 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019 (NPPF) sets out the overarching objectives for achieving sustainable development, one being the environmental objective which requires the planning system to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment. Furthermore, Paragraph 127 of the NPPF requires that development should respond to local character and history, and reflect the identity of local surroundings. It goes onto say that local distinctiveness should be promoted and reinforced. Saved Policy QL9 and EN1 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and Policy SPL3 and PPL3 of the emerging Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017) seeks to ensure that development is appropriate in its locality and does not harm the appearance of the landscape. Outside development boundaries, the Local Plan seeks to conserve and enhance the countryside for its own sake by not allowing new housing unless it is consistent with countryside policies.

Nevada is a modest bungalow well set back from Harts Lane on a generous plot enclosed by established planting and mature trees. The development proposes the demolition of the existing bungalow and its replacement with 6 detached dwellings and garages. Although the site could not be described as isolated as it is bounded by built development, the overall character of the area is semi-rural in nature being located on an unclassified country lane with predominantly commercial premises. Examples of residential dwellings in the locality are sporadic and similar to the application site. The development represents an unplanned advance of urbanisation into the countryside eroding the rural character of the area resulting in a detrimental impact upon the rural landscape. In applying the NPPF's presumption in favour of sustainable development, the adverse environmental impacts of the proposal on the character of the locality are not outweighed by any benefits.

The National Planning Policy Framework 2019 states Local Planning Authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition. Policy HG4 in the adopted Local Plan requires large residential developments to provide 40% of new dwellings as affordable housing for people who cannot otherwise afford to buy or rent on the open market. Policy LP5 in the emerging Local Plan, which is based on more up to date evidence on viability, requires 30% of new dwellings on large sites to be made available for affordable or Council Housing. The policy does allow flexibility to accept as low as 10% of dwellings on site, with a financial contribution toward the construction or acquisition of property for use as Council Housing (either on the site or elsewhere in the district) equivalent to delivering the remainder of the 30% requirement.

The size of the site on this application is 0.86 hectares and so the requirement for affordable housing is triggered. The proposal is for 6 x 4 bedroom houses. There are currently 18 households on the housing register seeking a 4 bedroom home in Ardleigh and therefore there is a demonstrable need for affordable housing in the village. This would equate to 1 x 4 bedroom house on this development to accord with Council policy. Although there is a demonstrable need for housing in the village of Ardleigh, the council would seek a financial contribution in lieu of on-site provision on this application as the funds can be used to deliver affordable housing in other more sustainable parts of the district.

A completed Section 106 agreement to secure the above-mentioned planning obligations has not been provided prior to the application determination date and the application is therefore contrary to the above policies.

8. Informatives

Positive and Proactive Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by identifying matters of concern with the proposal and discussing those with the Agent. However, the issues are so fundamental to the proposal that it has not been possible to negotiate a satisfactory way forward and due to the harm which has been clearly identified within the reasons for the refusal, approval has not been possible.